Surviving the Spring

18 AUGUST 2026

Laurens De Rouck



Photo by Mfumo Makhubela on Unsplash

Introduction

The uprisings that swept the Middle East and North Africa in 2011 generated expectations of democratic transformation that proved, in most cases, premature. In Egypt, the demonstrations that forced Hosni Mubarak from office appeared briefly to signal genuine transition. Yet within two years the military had reasserted control, and by 2014 a new authoritarian order, more institutionally consolidated than the one it replaced, was firmly in place. The transfer of authority to SCAF in February 2011 was, in retrospect, less a democratic handover than a restructuring of how power was held (Brown, 2013; Abdelmalak, 2022).

Morsi's election in 2012 was competitive in form, but the presidency he assumed sat atop an unreformed security state. His removal in July 2013 concluded a period in which civilian authority had never been genuinely established. The chronological arc of this process is summarised in Figure 1 (see Appendix).

What makes the Egyptian case analytically significant is not merely that authoritarianism survived, but that it survived in a form more consolidated and institutionally entrenched than what preceded it, and that it in essence could show a for, less dependent on a leader figure 'an sich' but as a regenerating system. This raises a question that purely event-driven accounts cannot adequately answer: how, and through what mechanisms, did a regime facing unprecedented popular pressure not only endure but emerge come out structurally 'sound'? This paper argues that the answer lies in the interaction of three mutually reinforcing dynamics , institutional adaptation, economic entrenchment, and calibrated coercion , and that understanding how these dynamics compound one another is essential to explaining the durability of Egypt's post-2013 order.

The analysis draws on three theoretical frameworks. First, Bellin's concept of authoritarian resilience identifies the cohesion of the coercive apparatus, elite co-optation capacity, and institutional adaptability as primary determinants of regime survival (Bellin, 2004). Her 2012 revision explained why Egypt's military held while Tunisia's fractured, pointing to institutionalisation, corporate identity, and external patronage as key variables (Bellin, 2012).

Second, Beblawi's (1987) rentier state theory draws attention to the material basis of political compliance. Egypt functions as a semi-rentier, sustained by Suez Canal revenues, remittances, strategic aid, and military enterprises. These structures produce a logic of dependency that weakens the accountability relationship between citizens and the state.

Third, Heydemann's (2007) concept of authoritarian upgrading argues that Arab regimes have actively incorporated and restructured liberalisation pressures rather than simply resisting them. Upgrading is a learned repertoire refined through cross-regime observation. Figure 2 (see Appendix) sets out the theoretical architecture. The following sections examine how institutional capture, economic entrenchment, and managed repression have each operated in practice.

Institutional Capture: How Military Dominance Became Constitutional Fact

The post-2011 evolution of Egypt's governance does not fit neatly into transitology's standard categories. What occurred was not liberalisation interrupted, nor transition reversed, but something more specific: a process through which the military converted informal dominance into constitutionally embedded authority. Understanding why that conversion succeeded requires examining both the structural advantages the armed forces brought to the transition and the political failures that gave them the opportunity to exploit it.

Egypt's military entered the post-Mubarak period as a highly institutionalised force , one with strong corporate identity, professional self-reproduction, and access to substantial US patronage. These characteristics, as Bellin (2012) argues, made defection individually costly and loyalty struc-

turally rewarding, distinguishing it from the more patrimonial armed forces of Libya and Tunisia where cohesion fractured under comparable pressure. SCAF's management of the 2011–2012 transition reflected this institutional confidence. Presenting itself as a guardian of stability, the Council shaped constitutional amendments and electoral sequencing to preserve military autonomy over defence spending and security policy. As Lynch (2011) observed, what had made the uprising extraordinary was the breadth of cross-ideological participation it mobilised , precisely the kind of coalition that SCAF subsequently managed and demobilised rather than incorporated into the transition's institutional architecture.

Morsi's presidency exposed what the managed transition had left intact. Governing against a security establishment and judiciary whose loyalty had never transferred to civilian authority, he was unable to consolidate power. Brown (2013) argues that the electoral processes of 2011–2013 deepened rather than resolved Egypt's distributional conflicts, sharpening inter-elite antagonisms and rendering governance increasingly dysfunctional. Morsi's November 2012 constitutional declaration , temporarily insulating presidential decisions from judicial review , crystallised these tensions. It revealed that civilian authority over the Egyptian state remained aspirational rather than operational, and made military intervention appear, to many, inevitable.

What followed was not a restoration but a reconstruction. The 2014 Constitution formalised military guardianship, granting the armed forces an explicit mandate to protect state institutions beyond civilian accountability. The 2019 amendments extended presidential term limits, entrenched executive control over judicial appointments, and created a second chamber partially composed of presidential nominees (Hussein, 2021). These reforms constitutionalised military dominance rather than merely reasserting it , encoding it into the formal legal architecture in ways designed to survive any future political transition.

This is where Heydemann's (2007) concept of authoritarian upgrading has its sharpest explanatory purchase. The Sisi regime has systematically restructured the institutional landscape to foreclose meaningful contestation while preserving procedural legitimacy: elections continue, but outcomes are never genuinely uncertain; opposition exists, but mobilisation is legally constrained; democratic form is maintained precisely because it has been made compatible with authoritarian substance. Elite co-optation reinforces this architecture. The market value premium that politically connected firms command, 20 to 23 percent above non-connected peers, despite lower operational returns, reveals cronyism not as peripheral corruption but as the structural mechanism through which economic elites are bound to the regime's survival (Chekir & Diwan, 2015). The result is an order that does not merely repress its opponents but gives potential opponents material reasons not to become opponents in the first place.

Rents, Dependencies, and the Political Economy of Regime Survival

If the previous section explains how Egypt's authoritarian order was institutionally reconstructed, the political economy explains why accommodation was more rational than resistance for most Egyptians. The material structures of the state do not merely accompany authoritarian politics; they produce the conditions under which compliance consistently outweighs dissent.

The economic aftermath of 2011 was severe: tourism collapsed, foreign investment withdrew, and GDP growth fell to approximately 1.8% (Abdelmalak, 2022). Youth unemployment exceeded 13%. Yet economic dislocation deepened rather than challenged dependence on state mechanisms , the opposite of what conventional accounts predict. Recovery returned to pre-crisis trajectories only around 2018, before COVID-19 introduced further disruption (Hussein, 2021).

Central to this dynamic is the military as economic actor. The armed forces control a large portfolio spanning construction, food processing, fuel, tourism, and manufacturing, benefiting from conscript labour, regulatory exemptions, and preferential state contracts (Ali, 2020). This ensures the institution most capable of threatening the regime is also the most materially invested in its continuation.

The cronyism Chekir and Diwan (2015) document is distinct from military enterprise. Their 20–23 percent market value premium for connected firms , alongside lower operational returns , illustrates how political access substitutes for competitive efficiency. For business elites, this creates powerful incentives to align with rather than challenge the political order.

Below the elite level, subsidies and public sector employment extend material dependence widely. As Beblawi (1987) argues, where the state functions as primary welfare provider, citizens become petitioners rather than rights-bearers, structurally positioned to cultivate the state rather than hold it accountable.

Large-scale infrastructure projects serve as performance legitimacy. The Suez Canal expansion, the New Administrative Capital, and associated megaprojects generate employment and direct resources through loyalist networks, while providing a developmental narrative that frames authoritarian governance as national necessity. Sisi consistently deploys this framing: that Egypt's transformation requires sustained executive authority that competitive politics would disrupt.

Managed Dissent: Coercion, Co-optation, and the Containment of Opposition

The constraint of civil and political rights is treated here not as a separate dimension of governance but as the mechanism through which institutional and economic pillars are protected from challenge. Repression in Egypt is targeted, legally grounded, and calibrated to prevent organised opposition from achieving the scale that made 2011 possible.

The 2013 Protest Law effectively criminalised unauthorised public assembly. Security forces have applied it extensively, often detaining organ-

isers in advance of planned events (Hussein, 2021). The 2011 uprising succeeded by achieving scale rapidly , translating digital coordination into mass physical presence faster than security forces could respond. The Protest Law, combined with expanded surveillance infrastructure, is designed to interrupt precisely that dynamic.

Media control operates on a related logic. Egyptian journalism has been transformed through ownership consolidation by regime-affiliated groups, licensing restrictions, and prosecution of critical voices under anti-terrorism statutes (Ali, 2020). The effect is not only to silence individual critics but to alter the information environment, reducing the likelihood that shared grievance frames will develop into the basis for collective mobilisation.

The 2017 NGO Law established a framework requiring state approval for all non-governmental organisations, security vetting of foreign funding, and criminal liability for activities deemed to threaten national unity. This exemplifies Heydemann's (2007) upgrading logic: rather than suppressing civil society, the regime absorbs it into its regulatory architecture.

Co-optation complements coercion throughout. By making compliance materially rewarding and opposition costly, the regime need not repress universally , only enough to establish the costs of dissent while ensuring accommodation remains more attractive. Political passivity is reproduced from within rather than enforced from without.

Conclusion

Egypt's authoritarian durability since the Arab Spring is not explained by any single factor, and while one may look at the leader as the one putting down a certain system, this case shows that the foundation also plays a important and possibly overlooked role. Neither military coercive capacity, the material dependencies of a semi-rentier economy, nor the suppression of civil society is individually sufficient. What explains it is their interaction , the way institutional entrenchment, economic co-optation, and managed repression reinforce one another, each pillar made more stable by the others.

Bellin's (2004; 2012) framework explains why Egypt's military remained cohesive: institutionalisation, corporate identity, and US patronage created structural incentives for loyalty. Beblawi's (1987) rentier logic shows how material dependencies align elite and popular interests with regime continuity. And Heydemann's (2007) concept of authoritarian upgrading provides the connective tissue , the deliberate, learned quality of adaptive governance that distinguishes Egypt's post-2013 order from mere improvisation.

The Chekir and Diwan (2015) data , a 20–23 percent market value premium for connected firms alongside lower operational returns , confirms that cronyism functions as a mechanism of political control rather than mere inefficiency. The regime's use of megaprojects as performance legitimacy illustrates the sophistication of authoritarian upgrading: substituting developmental narrative for democratic accountability.

What this analysis adds to that literature is an argument about *interaction*. Existing accounts tend to treat institutional resilience, rentier economics, and repressive governance as parallel explanations , competing or complementary depending on the case. This paper argues that in Egypt they are not parallel but mutually constitutive: the military's economic entrenchment makes institutional loyalty structurally rational; the cronyist premium on political connection makes elite defection individually irrational; and the legal architecture of repression protects both from organised challenge. Neither mechanism alone is sufficient. It is their compounding that produces what this paper terms compound authoritarianism.

Whether this model is stable in the long run is an open question. Structural youth unemployment, volatile external rents, and unresolved political grievances are fault lines that institutional consolidation postpones rather than resolves. What this analysis establishes is not that the Sisi regime is invulnerable, but that its durability is structurally grounded , the product not of personal authority but of the interaction of institutional, economic, and repressive mechanisms that together an constitute adaptive authoritarianism. Understanding that structure is a prerequisite for understanding what might credibly displace it.

References

Abdelmalak, R. (2022, May 28). The short lasting effects of the Arab Spring on Egypt's democracy today. Democratic Erosion Consortium. https://democratic-erosion.org/2022/05/28/the-short-lasting-effects-of-the-arab-spring-on-egypts-democracy-today/

Ali, H. (2020). Egypt after the Arab Spring: A legacy of no advancement. GIGA Focus Middle East, 7. German Institute for Global and Area Studies. https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-71083-9

Beblawi, H. (1987). The rentier state in the Arab world. Arab Studies Quarterly, 9(4), 383–398. https://www.jstor.org/stable/41857943

Bellin, E. (2004). The robustness of authoritarianism in the Middle East: Exceptionalism in comparative perspective. Comparative Politics, 36(2), 139–157. https://doi.org/10.2307/4150140

Bellin, E. (2012). Reconsidering the robustness of authoritarianism in the Middle East: Lessons from the Arab Spring. Comparative Politics, 44(2), 127–149. https://doi.org/10.5129/001041512798838021

Brown, N. J. (2011). The Muslim Brotherhood as helicopter parent. In M. Lynch (Ed.), Arab uprisings: The state of the Egyptian revolution (POMEPS Briefings 6, pp. 28–29). Project on Middle East Political Science. https://www.pomeps.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/POMEPS_BriefBooklet6_Egypt_web.pdf

Brown, N. J. (2013). Egypt's failed transition. Journal of Democracy, 24(4), 46–58. https://www.journalofdemocracy.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/Brown-24-4.pdf

Chekir, H., & Diwan, I. (2015). Crony capitalism in Egypt. Journal of Globalization and Development, 5(2), 177–211. https://doi.org/10.1515/jgd-2014-0025

Heydemann, S. (2007). Upgrading authoritarianism in the Arab world. Analysis Paper No. 13, Saban Center for Middle East Policy, Brookings Institution. https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/10arabworld.pdf

Hussein, M. (2021, January 25). Egypt's Arab Spring: The bleak reality 10 years after the uprising. Deutsche Welle. https://www.dw.com/en/egypts-arab-spring-the-bleak-reality-10-years-after-the-uprising/a-56336238

Lynch, M. (Ed.). (2011). Arab uprisings: The state of the Egyptian revolution. POMEPS Briefings 6. Project on Middle East Political Science. https://www.pomeps.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/POMEPS_BriefBooklet6_Egypt_web.pdf

Appendix: Figures

Figure 1. Egypt's Political Arc, 2011–2022. Key milestones in post-Arab Spring authoritarian consolidation. Sources: Brown (2013); Hussein (2021); Abdelmalak (2022).

Figure 2. Building the Concept: Adaptive Authoritarianism. How three theoretical frameworks combine to explain Egypt's regime survival after 2011. Sources: Bellin (2004; 2012); Beblawi (1987); Heydemann (2007).

EPIS