The Continued Fight for Democracy

29 APRIL 2026
India Zirner

More than a decade after the Arab Spring, the Middle East and North Africa remains a region where the struggle for democracy is unresolved, uneven, and deeply contested. The uprisings that began in 2010 and 2011 were driven by a powerful combination of political frustration, economic hardship, and demands for dignity, accountability, and freedom. They challenged long-standing authoritarian systems and briefly opened the possibility that governance in the region might move in a more participatory and rights-based direction. Yet the years that followed made clear that popular mobilization alone is not enough to secure democratic transformation. In many countries, initial openings gave way to renewed repression, institutional resistance, political fragmentation, or outright conflict. In others, regimes absorbed the pressure of protest without relinquishing the core mechanisms of control that had sustained authoritarian rule for decades.

The post-Arab Spring period is therefore best understood not as a simple transition from authoritarianism to democracy, but as a contested process in which political systems, civil societies, and external actors continue to compete over the meaning of reform. Some governments responded to the uprisings with limited legal changes, managed pluralism, or carefully staged political concessions. Others relied on coercion, emergency measures, and the consolidation of security institutions to restore control. In several cases, the result has been a tightening rather than a loosening of political space. This has had serious implications for democratic life, including the restriction of opposition activity, the shrinking of civic freedoms, the weakening of judicial independence, and the growing difficulty of holding power to account.

At the same time, the Arab Spring exposed structural weaknesses that had long underpinned political life across the region. Weak institutions, elite capture, corruption, socio-economic inequality, and a disconnect between rulers and governed had already created deep frustration before the uprisings began. These conditions did not disappear after 2011. In many countries, unemployment remained high, public trust remained low, and social grievances continued to simmer beneath the surface of formal polit-

ical stability. The failure to address these underlying pressures has made democratic consolidation even more difficult. Where citizens see little improvement in daily life, the appeal of reform weakens, and political systems become more vulnerable to disillusionment, protest, and repression in equal measure.

The Arab Spring also changed how questions of rights and legitimacy are understood in the region. Even where democratic gains were reversed, expectations around accountability, political participation, and civil liberties did not vanish. Activists, journalists, lawyers, and human rights organisations have continued to challenge abuses, document violations, and defend the limited political space that remains. Their role is especially important in environments where formal political institutions are weak or heavily constrained. In many MENA states, human rights advocacy has become one of the few persistent channels through which political grievances are recorded, and public pressure is maintained. Yet these efforts have often been met with legal harassment, intimidation, and repression, underscoring how fragile the space for civic engagement remains. In some cases, governments have used counter-terrorism laws, vague "incitement" or "national security" provisions, and digital surveillance to criminalize dissent, silence critics, and weaken independent organisations. This has created a climate where human-rights defenders operate under constant risk, even as their work becomes more essential.

The legacy of the uprisings is therefore contradictory. On the one hand, the Arab Spring demonstrated the breadth of demand for change across the region and broke the sense that authoritarian rule was politically immutable. On the other hand, it also revealed how resilient entrenched systems of power can be, especially when backed by security institutions, patronage networks, and, in some cases, external support. The result is a region where democratic aspirations remain alive, but where the pathways to realizing them are narrow, uneven, and frequently blocked. Rather than producing a settled political order, the post-2011 era has created a longer struggle over the relationship between state authority, public rights, and social legitimacy.

The Arab Spring also had notable global implications for how both autocracies and democracies understand political change. For authoritarian regimes elsewhere, the uprisings served as a warning about the risks of economic stagnation, social exclusion, and political repression. In some places, they reinforced strategies of preventive control, digital surveillance, and tighter regulation of civil society and the media. For other governments, they prompted a more self-aware form of authoritarianism, in which superficial reforms or controlled elections were used to signal openness without relinquishing real power. In democracies and liberal states, the Arab Spring initially raised high expectations about the spread of democratic values and the transformative power of popular mobilization. Over time, however, it also highlighted the limits of external support, the risks of intervention,

and the difficulty of promoting democratic change without deep domestic agency. The experience of MENA has therefore informed broader debates about the conditions under which political transitions succeed and the role that international actors can or should play in supporting them.

This report examines that struggle through a comparative look at selected MENA countries, drawing attention to the ways the Arab Spring reshaped political life, legal frameworks, social conditions, and the role of human rights actors. By comparing different national experiences, it highlights both shared regional trends and important country-specific variations. The broader aim is to understand why the promise of the Arab Spring has proven so difficult to translate into lasting democratic progress, and what the region's experience since 2011 reveals about the limits and possibilities of political change.

EPIS